

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/023.146	02/12/98	SANDHU	G	336905(91-36

MM31/0326 JOSEPH A WALKOWSKI TRASK BRITT & ROSSA PO BOX 2550

SALT LAKE CITY UT 84110

**EXAMINER** DANG, T

PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 2813

DATE MAILED: 03/26/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. **09/023,146** 

Applicant(s)

Sandhu

## Office Action Summary

Examiner

Trung Dang

Group Art Unit 2813

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 193	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extens 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	ng Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗖 approved 🗖 disapproved.
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	of the priority documents have been
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu	mber)
$\square$ received in this national stage application from the	International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s)2
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	·
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON	THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/023,146

Art Unit: 2813

1. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The instant application discloses a method for depositing tungsten silicide films characterized by the formula WSi<sub>x</sub>. However, without defining or specifying numerical values of x, one cannot determine the final product so that the invention can be practiced. Would it be WSi, WSi<sub>1.2</sub>, WSi<sub>1.5</sub>, WSi<sub>2</sub>, or any amount of Si in the tungsten silicide film? The specification, therefore, does not contain a written description of the invention in a full, clear, and concise manner as required by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C § 112.

2. Claims 3, 4, 10, 11, 20, 21, and 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The citation "the (CVD) process" recited in claims 3-4, 10, 20-21, and 27 is unclear as to which CVD process it refers to - a CVD process using silane or a CVD process using dichlorosilane that is recited in the base claims.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

Application/Control Number: 09/023,146

Art Unit: 2813

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12-19, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawanishi et al. (English translation of JP-39528, cited by applicant) taken with Price et al.

Kawanishi teaches a process for depositing a tungsten silicide film on a substrate which comprises the steps of: forming a nucleation layer of tungsten silicide (WSi<sub>2</sub>) on the substrate using a CVD process with silane (SiH<sub>4</sub>) silicon source gas and a reactant gas of tungsten hexafluoride (WF<sub>6</sub>) at a temperature of 360°C; depositing a WSi<sub>2</sub> film on the nucleation layer by CVD using dichlorosilane (SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) silicon source gas and WF<sub>6</sub> reactant gas at a temperature of 680°C. See pages 6-7.

The difference between Kawanishi and the claims is in the deposition temperature of which the WSi<sub>2</sub> film is deposited using SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and WF<sub>6</sub>.

However, Price et al. teaches that tungsten disilicide (WSi<sub>2</sub>) can be deposited by CVD from a mixture of SiH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> and WF<sub>6</sub> at a temperature in a range of 390-400°C. See col. 6, lines 53-54 in conjunction with col. 5, lines 54-68; col. 9, lines 1-12, and Fig. 6.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kawanishi's teachings by depositing the WSi<sub>2</sub> film on the nucleation layer at a temperature in a range of 390-400°C because of the followings:

Application/Control Number: 09/023,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

a) It is known that once a temperature above the dissociation temperature of reactive gases (critical temperature Tc) is reached, the deposition rate varies gradually with temperature change hence the control of temperature is not critical for film thickness control so long as a minimum deposition temperature is exceeded. See Price col. 5, lines 66-68; col. 6, lines 20-27. Thus, one skill in the art would find it obvious to deposit the WSi<sub>2</sub> film of Kawanishi at the temperature range suggested by Price because lower temperature deposition would be beneficial in that thermal budget is reduced while assuring substantially the same deposition characteristics (e.g. temperature/deposition rate independency, film thickness uniformity) as the film is deposited at 680°C.

b) It is known, as shown in Price, a WSi<sub>2</sub> film can be deposited in a range of 390-400°C with a rapid deposition rate and a good uniformity (col. 9, lines 1-20). Thus, application of an old process to make the same would have been within the level of an artisan.

As for claim 14, the Examiner takes official notice that Argon, Nitrogen, or Helium is known individually as a carrier gas. Since each member of the claimed mixture is known individually as a carrier gas, one of ordinary skill in the art would expect such mixture to function as a carrier gas in an additive or cumulative manner.

As for claims 13 and 15, the selection of deposition time and flow rates of reactive gases is not inventive since it has been held that discovery an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skilled in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 09/023,146

Art Unit: 2813

As for claims 16 and 17, the combined rejection meets the claimed limitation in that 360°C is considered substantially equivalent to 390°C.

4. Claims 3, 6, 7, 10, 11, 20, 22, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawanishi taken with Price as applied to claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 8, 9, 12-19, 21 above, and further in view of Brors et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,565,157 cited by applicant)

The combination of Kawanishi and Price teaches a process as noted above with the exception that the references do not specifically mention that the WSi2 film is deposited using a cold wall CVD system as claimed, although Kawanishi does suggest that any existing CVD apparatus can be used with the same effect (page 11, lines 13-15).

Brors teaches that deposition of WSi<sub>2</sub> using a cold wall CVD system with premix chambers is advantageous over conventional hot wall CVD system in that a deposited film with high quality and uniformity can be obtained. See line 53 of col. 2 to line 32 of col. 3; col. 4, lines 45-68; col. 7, lines 1-40.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have deposited the WSi<sub>2</sub> film using the cold wall CVD system as suggested by Brors because doing such would obtain a film with high quality and uniformity.

As for claims 6 and 22, the Examiner takes official notice that a carrier gas is conventionally used in the deposition of tungsten silicide. Also see col. 4, lines 45-

Art Unit: 2813

50 in Brors for the mixing of a silicon source gas, a reactant gas, and a carrier gas in a mixing chamber 28.

As for claims 7 and 23, the selection of a flow rate as claimed is not inventive since it has been held that discovery an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skilled in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Trung Dang whose telephone number is (703) 308-2548. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Bowers, can be reached on (703) 308-2417. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-3432 or (703) 308-7725.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

Trung Dang

Primary Examiner, Group 2800

Trung Dang